No. 92-357-ATX   Title: Ruth O. Shaw, et al., Appellants
Status: GRANTED   v.
                           Janet Reno, Attorney General, et al.

Docketed: Court: United States District Court for the
August 25, 1992   Eastern District of North Carolina

                 Counsel for appellant: Everett,Robinson O.

                 Counsel for appellee: Solicitor General, Speas Jr.,Edwin M.,
                         Wertz,Rebecca J., Currin,Margaret Person, Powell,H.
                         Jefferson

                         Notice of appeal filed 5-27-92. 7-2-92 ext til 8-25-
                         92, C.J. Rhenquist - CITED. 10 copies of lodging
                         filed 8-25-92.

Entry   Date   Note   Proceedings and Orders
----

 1 Jul 2 1992 G Application (A92-12) to extend the time to file a
                    jurisdictional statement on appeal from July 26, 1992 to
                    September 24, 1992, submitted to The Chief Justice.
 2 Jul 2 1992   Application (A92-12) granted by the Chief Justice
                    extending the time to file until August 25, 1992.
 3 Aug 25 1992 G Statement as to jurisdiction filed.
 5 Sep 24 1992   Order extending time to file response to jurisdictional
                    statement until October 28, 1992.
 6 Sep 28 1992   Brief of appellee North Carolina in opposition filed.
 7 Oct 7 1992   DISTRIBUTED. October 30, 1992
 8 Oct 28 1992 X Motion of appellees William Barr, et al. to affirm filed.
 9 Nov 4 1992   REDISTRIBUTED. November 25, 1992
10 Nov 9 1992 X Reply brief of appellants filed.
12 Nov 30 1992   REDISTRIBUTED. December 4, 1992
13 Dec 7 1992   PROBABLE JURISDICTION NOTED. Argument shall be limited
                    to the following question, which all parties are
                    directed to brief: "Whether a state legislature's intent
                    to comply with the Voting Rights Act and the Attorney
                    General's interpretation thereof precludes a finding
                    that the legislature's congressional redistricting plan
                    was adopted with invidious discriminatory intent where
                    the legislature did not accede to the plan suggested by
                    the Attorney General but instead developed its own."
                    ****
14 Dec 28 1992 G Motion of appellants to dispense with printing the joint
                    appendix filed.
15 Dec 29 1992   Record filed.
             *   Certified proceedings United Sates District Court for
                    the Eastern District of North Carolina.
16 Jan 11 1993   Motion of appellants to dispense with printing the joint
                    appendix GRANTED.
17 Jan 19 1993 Brief amicus curiae of American Jewish Congress filed.
18 Jan 21 1993 Brief of appellants Ruth O. Shaw, et al. filed.
19 Jan 21 1993 Brief amicus curiae of Republican National Committee filed.
20 Jan 21 1993 Brief amici curiae of Washington Legal Foundation, et al.
                    filed.

No. 92-357-ATX

Entry	Date	Note	Proceedings and Orders

21 Jan 21 1993   LODGING by petitioner. Ten copies of Jurisdiction Statement in Pope v. Blue; 1990 North Carolina Census; and maps of North Carolina Congressional Districts.

22 Feb 9 1993 G   Motion of the Acting Solicitor General for divided argument filed.

23 Feb 22 1993   Motion of the Acting Solicitor General for divided argument GRANTED.

24 Feb 22 1993   Brief amici curiae of Democratic National Committee, et al. filed.

25 Feb 23 1993   Brief of appellees Stuart M. Gerson, Acting Attorney General, et al. filed.

26 Feb 24 1993   Brief of appellee North Carolina filed.

27 Feb 24 1993   Brief amici curiae of Lawyer's Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, et al. filed.

28 Feb 24 1993   Brief amicus curiae of NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund filed.

29 Feb 24 1993   Brief amici curiae of Bolley Johnson, et al. filed.

30 Mar 4 1993   CIRCULATED.

31 Mar 5 1993   SET FOR ARGUMENT TUESDAY, APRIL 20, 1993. (1ST CASE).

32 Mar 22 1993 X Supplemental brief of appellee North Carolina filed.

33 Mar 29 1993 X Reply brief of appellants. filed.

34 Apr 20 1993   ARGUED.